

$\underline{U \text{NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE}} \ ,$

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/848,509	05/03/2001	William S. Wheat	8540G-000008	7572
27572	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	09/848,509	WHEAT ET AL.				
7.00.00.00	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monique M Wills	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_			
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply case later than three months after the mail the same of the shortened statutory period for reply case later than three months after the mail the same of the same o	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 -5, 7-10,12-15,and 17-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- 0:1/				
0. Other:	., -	OM				
		MICHAEL BARR SORY PATENT EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant contends that the valve of Voss '964 only anticipates two modes of operation, completely open and completely closed thus, the reference does not contemplate controlling a "proportion" of gas supply through the humidifier. Therefore, with regard to claims 3,8,13 and 23, these claims cannot be anticipated by Voss because they include limitations directed to the valve controlling the "proportion" of gas flowing from the gas supply through the humidifier. This argument is not persuasive. A 100% "proportion" of gas is supplied by a completely opened valve, likewise, a 0% "proportion" of gas is supplied by a completely closed valve the humidifier.